

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/626,526 07/27/2000 Evan D.H. Green

NFC1P004X1

08/05/2003

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD 7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025

EXAMINER JACKSON, CORNELIUS H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2828

DATE MAILED: 08/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			an
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/626,526	GREEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cornelius H. Jackson	2828	<u></u>
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cov r shee	t with the correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	l. 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma ply within the statutory minimum of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) In the cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed fthirty (30) days will be considered timel MONTHS from the mailing date of this c ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	May 2003 .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ 1	This action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	wance except for formal or <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	matters, prosecution as to the C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	ie merits is
4) Claim(s) 88,89,91,92,97-99 and 101-125 is/	are pending in the applic	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.	•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		Paul &)
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>88,89,91,92,97-99 and 101-125</u> is/a	re rejected.	•	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		PAUL IP Supervisory patent e	XAMINER
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	or election requirement.	TECHNOLOGY CENTER	3 2800
.,	nor.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		ov the Evaminer	٠
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 35 U.S.	C. & 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gii priority under 00 0.0.	o. 3 110(a) (a) o. (i).	
	nts have been received		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume2. Certified copies of the priority docume		n Annlication No	
			Stane
 Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes			l application).
 a)	rovisional application ha	s been received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No e of Informal Patent Application (PT	

Application/Control Number: 09/626,526

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgement

1. Acknowledgement is made that applicant's Amendments, filed on 19 May 2003, has been entered. Upon entrance of amendment, claims 91, 92, 97, 103, 106, 113 and 115 were amended and claims 90 and 126-128 were cancelled. Claims 88, 89,91, 92, 97-99 and 101-125 are pending in this application.

Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2828

4. Claims 88, 89, 91, 92, 97-99 and 101-125 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yasuda et al. (4947398). Yasuda et al. disclose a tunable filter apparatus **Fig. 4**, comprising a grid generator **4** positioned in an optical path and configured to generate a first transmission peaks corresponding to channels of a selected wavelength grid; and a channel selector **5** positioned in the optical path and configured to generate a second plurality of transmission peaks, wherein the tunable optical filter enables a portion of the optical signal having a wavelength corresponding to the common wavelength of the aligned transmission peaks to pass through while attenuating portions of the optical signal having other wavelengths, **see Figs. 3a-c and 5, col. 4, lines 10-60 and col. 10, line 45-col. 12, lines 34**.

Regarding claims 89, Yasuda disclose the stated limitation, see col. 2, line 40-col. 4, line 60.

Regarding claims 91, 92 and 101, Yasuda disclose all the stated limitation including a gain medium 1, see Fig. 4 and col. 9, lines 43-col. 13, line 20.

Regarding claims 102-105, Yasuda disclose all the stated limitations, see Fig. 4, col. 2, line 40-col. 4, line 60, col. 9, lines 43-col. 13, line 20 and col. 10, line 45-col. 12, lines 34.

Regarding claims 106, Sesko et al. teach the grid generator and the channel selector comprise a Fabry-Perot filter/an interference element, see abstract, col. 9, lines 43-col. 13, line 20.

Regarding claim 107-109, 111, 112, 113 and 115, Yasuda disclose all the stated limitations, see col. 4, line 48-col. 11, line 40 and col. 18, line 23-col. 19, line 11.

Regarding claims 97-99 and 116-125, the method of a device is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself, since the device itself obviously uses the method. Therefore the rejection used on the device applies also to the method of the device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 110 and 114 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasuda et al. (4947398). Yasuda et al., as applied to claims 88,89, 91, 92, 97-99, 101-109, 111-113 and 115-125 above, teach all the stated limitations except for the type of etalon used being gas spaced or a grating.

Regarding claims 110 and 114, it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Art Unit: 2828

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 88, 89, 91, 92, 97-99 and 101-125 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Note that claims 88, 89, 91, 92, 97-99 and 101-125 of this application conflict with claims 1-28 and 33-36 of Application No. 10/087728 and claims 1-17, 19-34 and 36-54 of Application No. 10/099649. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application.

Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

Also see Zorabedian et al. (6282215).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cornelius H. Jackson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5981. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 5:00, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 09/626,526

Art Unit: 2828

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7722 for regular communications and (703)308-7721 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

July 22, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800